(4 m						
STEP STEP	995. no person		ent and Tr	ademarl	PTO/SB/21 (05-03) of for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031 k Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE unless it displays a valid OMB control number.	
	Application Number		09/780,528 /			
TRANSMITTAL FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date	Febr	February 9, 2001		
		First Named Inventor	Rob	Robert W. Gilbert et al.		
		Art Unit	2875	2875 /		
		Examiner Name	Mark	Mark Tsidulko		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	SCH	-0002	7-PRA	
	ENC	LOSURES (Check all th	at apply	)		
Fee Transmittal Form  Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final		Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			After Allowance communication to Group  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addr Terminal Disclaimer			Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)			Return Receipt Postcard	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application  Response to Missing Part under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5	Rema Applica additio applica No. 50 duplica	ant believes no fee to be on nal fees be due in order to ation, please consider this	o preve as aut loffmar	ent the horiza nn, P.0	ation to charge Deposit Account C.) for any such fees due. A	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Warn, Burgess & Hoffmann, P.C. Philip R/Warn - Reg No. 32775 Individual name Signature Date August 26, 2003 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, V/2313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name Philip R. Warn - Reg. No. 32775 August 26, 2003 Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/780,528

Filing Date:

February 9, 2001

Applicant:

Robert W. Gilbert et al.

Group Art Unit:

2875

Examiner:

Mark Tsidulko

Title:

**EXTERIOR MIRROR** 

Attorney Docket:

SCH-00027-PRA

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF THE FINALITY OF THE OFFICE ACTION AND AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION C. 2

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Final Action dated June 26, 2003; to which a response is due by August 26, 2003 in order to provoke an Advisory Response from the Examiner in accordance with MPEP § 706.07(f). Please amend the above-identified application as follows:

Request for Withdrawal of the Finality of the Office Action begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendments to the claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 6 of this paper.

## REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF THE FINALITY OF THE OFFICE ACTION

The Examiner has rejected un-amended claim 1 of the subject application over old art previously cited in the prosecution of the application. The MPEP § 706.07(a) in pertinent part states:

Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except when the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is **neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims** nor based on information submitted in an Information Disclosure Statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)...

Applicant asserts that the finality of the Office Action was improper since the Examiner's § 103 rejection of claim 1 based on the obviousness of the Pastrick reference was improper, since it was a new ground for rejection that was **NOT** necessitated from Applicant's amendment of the claims. Note that in the Amendment and Response to Office Action filed on May 12, 2003, claim 1 was not amended. Therefore, the Office Action has introduced new grounds for rejection that based off of a claim that has not been amended. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the finality of the Examiner's rejection and requests withdrawal of the same.